G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

L. R. 85-90

File No. 84-87

Serial Nos. 1 to 14

192 .

( )

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial No. 1 to 14

Part B for February 1896.

SUBJECT.

1869-95

(General Serial No. 30.)

188 .

From

L.Q. 84-89-1.

To

The Dy: Commr-
Bungalore D#

Dated 8th
Despatched
Received

August/89.

Enclosures No.

General No.
No. 216

The accompanying petition from Pulivan dalvi Kuk Kistaramma Shastri & Others, Joshidars of Jodi Aivar Kandapura, Nelamangala Taluk, is referred to the Dy: Commr- for report. Meanwhile sale may be stayed if the Dy: Commr- thinks it proper to do so.

By order

Under S

for 997 of 1889

To

The Dewan

to His Highness the Maha Rajah of Mysore.

The humble Petition of Puliwandalu Venkata Rama Shastri & others, Jodidars of the Jodi Village of Aivarukandapura of the Mailanahalli Holli Nela Mangala Taluk.

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

1 That your Petitioners are the Jodidars of the Jodi Village of Aivarukandapura of the Nelamangala Taluk, paying a fixed amount of Jodi of 200 Varahas or Rs 581-13-1 to Government

2 That during the Inam Settlement which preceded the Survey Settlement, a sum of Rs 36-5-10 was in 1876 imposed upon the said village by the Inam department as the amount of quit-rent payable to Government by your Petitioners.

3 That subsequent to the Inam Settlement, the village was surveyed by the Survey department with a view to ascertain the value of the village for the purpose of securing therefrom an adequate amount of quit-rent to Government.

and in the final ~~tittle~~ title-deed issued to your Petitioners in 1878, a sum of Rs 146. 5. 10 i.e. Rs 110/- over and above the sum of Rs 36. 5. 10 originally fixed by the Inam Department, was imposed upon the village as being the quit-rent leviable thereon -

4 That the Survey Department, whilst the village was under survey, classed the lands of the whole village under the two heads of Cultivable and uncultivable lands, but made no such further distinction under the head of Cultivable lands as lands actually under cultivation and cultivable waste -

5 That your Petitioners then complained against the subsequent enhancement of the amount of quit-rent but that they kept up paying the originally fixed amount of quit-rent of Rs 36. 5. 10

6 That the repeated complaints made by several Inamdars against such enhancement of the amount of quit-rent resulted in the Proceedings No 4701-9-133, dated Bangalore 2nd September 1881 having been held by the late Dewan -

7 That in the Proceedings above referred to the Dewan observed that the mode of valuation adopted by the Survey Department was a great hardship on the Inamdars and that the survey assessment on the lands actually under

(1886 (4

cultivation with 25 per cent of the assessment on the arable waste on account of prospective improvements together with a reasonable pasture rent on the unarable waste was a fair valuation to adopt, and further directed that the Inam villages should be re-valued on the above principles by the Survey Department and that pending such re-valuation the increased quit-rent charged on Inam villages on the basis of the Survey assessment should be held in abeyance and that both the quit-rent and the local fund cess should be collected on the old recorded valuation only -

8 That your Petitioners have, till now, paid to Government annually the sum of Rs 36. 5. 10 being the amount of quit-rent originally fixed by the Inam Department, in addition to the jodi and the local fund cess payable from the village.

9 That notwithstanding the Diwan's Proceedings alluded to above, the said village of Aivarukandapuria has not as yet been re-valued by the Survey Department on the principles laid down in the said Proceedings -

10 That the Amildar of Velamangala made repeated demands on your Petitioners for payment of the accumulated amount of quit-rent imposed by the Survey authorities, and at last, in December last attached the produce of the village and the 10th day of August 1889 has been fixed for the sale of the same -

(5) (44) (9)

11 That your Petitioners in the meantime petitioned your Huzur praying that they might be exempted under Sl. 47 of the Shamsabs from paying any further sum to Government as quit-rent but that the granting of your Petitioners' prayer was refused -

Your Petitioners therefore most humbly and respectfully pray that the re-valuation of the village in accordance with the principles laid down in the Proceedings above quoted may be ordered to be made, and the amount of quit-rent then fixed, by the Survey Department and that pending such revaluation the sale of the produce now under attachment may be ordered to be stayed, and the produce itself released from attachment -

And your Petitioners as in duty bound,

Shall Ever Pray

Bangalore
7th August 1889

J. Ramachandra Rao

ಮಲ್ಲಂದೂರು ಶಂಕರಯ್ಯನವರಿಗೆ.
ಬಾಬಾಬುಡನ್‌ಗಿರಿ
ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು

ಲೆಫ್ಟ್. ಕರ್ನಲ್ ಜಿ. ಕೂಪರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರು
ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು

1
P. 89

106

OFFICE OF THE
4165
28.AUG.89
353
DIWAN OF MYSORE.

(General Serial No. 31.)

1889.

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District
L.Q.84-89-2

To The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt of Mysore.

Dated 23rd August 1889.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file.

General No.

No. 382.

Reports on petition from P. Venkataramana Shastri & others, Joiclars of Jodi Avarkandapura, Nelamangala Taluk.

B
2/9

(47)

Dy Commissioners Office
Bangalore District
Dated 23rd August 1889.

D. No. 382.
Enclos 1 file

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Encl 2 Nos
Counsellor
27/8/89

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 216 dated 8th Instant, I have the honor to forward a précis in English, and Vernacular correspondence, received from the Amildar, as per Margin, and to state that the former Jodi amount on the Iwarakhandapura village was Rs: 581-13-1. During the Inam Settlement it was raised to Rs: 618, but during the Survey Settlement it was further enhanced to Rs: 728, per annum. The Jodidars complained against this, as also other Inamdars of the Taluk, and after a deal of correspondence, the Survey Department revised the Settlement on the principles laid down in Proceedings No. 4701-9/133 dated 2nd September 1881.

2. According to the revised Settlement

To be kindly returned when no longer required.

the Quit Rent for the village in question is Rs: 727/. with a Local Cess of Rs: 109/. per Annum, and there seems to be no likelihood of its being re-vised again. While all other Inamdars of the Taluk have accepted the settle-ment and paid up their arrears fully, there is no reason why petitioner, P Venkataramanasastry, and his Co-Vrittidars should be allowed to withhold payment. Even if the amount were ordered by Government to be reduced, there is nothing to prevent the excess being refunded to them at any time.

3. The arrears due by the Jodi-dars since the year 1884-85 are specified in the margin.

	Rs.	As.	P.
Tarangua	163	7	3.
Parthasia	163	7	3.
Vyanga	163	7	3.
Sarvajitu	214	0	5.
Sarvadhari	216	5	2.
Total	920	11	4

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant.

W. D. Arnold

Dy Commissioner

Mel

Precis of Correspondence connected with the arrears due by the Jodidars of Iwarkhundapura village in the Kalavmala Taluque

Petition from Venkataramia Sastri and others of Iwara-Khundapura D/ 25-2-89.

Stating that the stakes of their crops have been attached by the Amildar for the ~~quit-rent~~ arrears of sheers quit rent due on their village from the years Dharana to Sarwajithu and that their petition to the Dewan on the Subject is pending for disposal and therefore Soliciting to Stop the Sale

order No 989 D/25/2/89

Directing the Amildar to postpone the Sale for a fortnight which period has been granted to the petitioners for the Settlement of their appeal.

Report No 1281 D/13-3-89.

Reporting that though the period allowed to petitioners expired on the 11th instant, no orders have yet been received on the Subject

order No 1053 D/18-3-89

Directing the Amildar to Stay proceedings until final orders are received from the Dewan.

Report No 1309 D/22-3-89.

Bringing to notice that in case of there being any delay in the receipt of final orders from the Dewan, and the Stakes were under attachment till then it would give the Petitioners room for Complaint.

No 1072 26/6/89.

Directing the Amildar to release the Stakes after taking a security for the value thereof.

No 1023 7-3-89

Reporting to have carried out the above order

Directing the Amildar that the quit rent fixed at the temparary Settlement cannot be said to be high. The Jodidar must pay. If they pay up this amount within three days from the date of the receipt of this order, to stop the Sale, and to give them a month's time to get their affair Settled before the Dewan; but if they fail to pay up the amount fixed at the temparary Settlement, namely Rs 672-8-9 to proceed with the Sale.

order No 274
D/ 8-7-89

Reporting that the amount has not yet been paid by the Jodidar

No 380
D/ 21-7-89

Directing the Amildar to proceed with the Sale

order No 327
D/ 5-8-89

Order No 255
D/ 2-7-89

Directing the Amsal to report on the following points:
(a) From what date the cesses quit-rent has been ordered to be paid.
(b) What is the cesses amount per annum.
(c) What is the amount of formal quit rent and from what date it is due

Report No 322
D/ 4-7-89

Reporting that a sum of Rs. 727 is shown against the godi Swarkhundapura in the final quit-rent Register received from the Inam Deptt in 1884.

The particulars of godi on this village from the begining is as follows.

(1) Godi as mentioned in the Sanad is 5-81-13-1

(2) Temporary quit rent fixed by the Inam Deptt including cess — 672-8-9
(From the year Yuva to Eswara)

(3) Quit rent fixed on the valuation arrived at the Survey Deptt including cess 899-9-1
(From the year Bhavdhanya to Vikrama)

4) Adinterum quit rent as per Inam Settlements from the year (Vishu to Swabhanu) 672-8-9

5) As per final quit rent register received from the Inam Deptt from the year (Dharana up to date) 836-0-0

The total amount due on this village is Rs 920-11-4 as per details given below

Dharana	163-7-3
Parthiva	163-7-3
Raya	163-7-3
Sarvajithu	214-0-5
Sarvadhari	216-5-2
	920-11-4

Stating that the quit rent originally fixed on this village was collected up to the date of Survey Settlement when the valuation of the village was raised, and quit rent fixed thereon, but on the general complaint of the holders of alienated villages, the valuation was raised as per Dewan's orders and a list of fmal quit rent was received from the Inam Deptt. According to this list all the Jodidars of other villages have paid the quit rent of their villages, but the Brithidars of this village withheld payment on the plea that they have appealed to the Dewan on the Subject. As the revision was made after a great consideration he did not think that the Dewan would alter his decision on complaint of the Brithidars of a Single Jodi-village. There was no objection for the petitioners to pay up the arrears which can be refunded to them if the question is decided on their favour.

10 Report No 54
~~D/ 20-3-~~
D/ 20-4-89

To Submit a statement Shewing the amount of quit-rent fixed at the Inam Enquiry, amount at the Survey Settlement, and also the amount fixed at the revision

order No 123
D/ 6-5-89

Forwarding the Statement Called for.

Report No 130
D/ 8-5-89

Directing to proceed with the Collection of the arrears due on this village according to the rules

order No 174
D/ 20-5-89

1/
P.89

(General Serial No. 30.)

188 (13

From

I. Q. 84-89-3.

To

The Inam Supt.

Dated 11

Despatched 12

Received September 1889.

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 4661

No. 54

In forwarding the petition, dated 7th August 1889, from P. Venkatarama Shastri and others, Jodidars of Aivar Kandapur, Nelamangal Taluk, has the honor to request that information, as per statement in the margin, may be furnished to this office with the evidence on which is based the extent of arable waste now shewn.

A copy of the Quit-rent

The Register

Total	
Villages	
Old extent	
Present assessment	
Present collection	
Present waste	
Present unoccupied land	
Old land	

(14

Register may also be submitted.

By order

Under Secretary

details of the Survey Valuation of the Drainceedings No. 4701-9/133 dated 2nd September 1881,

ally fixed —— As now revised —

Combined Quit Rent	Assessment on Cultivated Lands	25% of the assessment on arable waste lands	Pasture rent on unarable waste lands	Total	Jodi	Combd Quit Rent	Remarks.
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
0	R.a.p	R.a.p	R.a.p	R.a.p	R.a.p	R.a.p	
		"	24-"	24-"			
	725-8-2	"	"	725-8-2			
	895-7-4	"	"	895-7-4			
	98-13-6	"	"	98-13-6			
-1	728-"	1719-13-"	" 24-"	1743-13-"	581-13-1	727-"	

Supdt of Drain Sects.

Extract from the Statement Shewing the villages prepared as per the Government Pro Para 5.

1 Taluk.	2 Village	3 Serial No.	4 Final Title Deed Number	Description of Land	5 Area.	6 Assessment.	7 Jodi
						As Origin	
						A. G. R. A. P. R. a	
Nelamangala	Sivaraakhandapura Agrahar Jodi	77.	42.	Uncultivable	191-39	24--	"
				Dry	575-11	725-8-2	"
				Wet	177-31	895-7-4	"
				Garden	22-13	98-13-6	"
				Total...	967-14	1743-13-- or 1750-12--	581-13

Sm 775-15
775

Main Supt's Office.
Bangalore 8 20 Septr: 1889.

32
30
30
30

17

1890

L.R. 84-89-8.

To The Supt. Rev. Survey.

Dated 31-1-90

No 8337

107

Rec'd Head Office 4-3-90.

Requesting that certain lands referred by Petrie: i.e. Inams of Anwar Kandapan Kelamangala Taluq may be remeasured & a revaluation Statement submitted

P.L.
2/1.

been measured as cultivated & Dam therefore desires to request that you will be so good as to cause the lands actually under cultivation to be re-measured in the presence of the Inamdar & to submit a revaluation Statement as early as practicable.—

The waste lands may be treated as "cultivable waste" & 25 per cent of its assessment taken into account in calculating the Quit Rent under para 4 of the Inam Rules dated 22 Sept 1887 No 4701 — 133

M.L.
25/1/90

No 8337/107 931—1—90
1—2 Gore.

~~Office Memo~~

To the Deputy of New Survey Act,

With reference to your docket dated 20th Sept 1889 No 25, I am directed to forward a Statement presented by the Owners of the Village thereon referred to, in which they state that the area actually under cultivation in their village is only 611 acres whereas according to the Statement forwarded by you it is 775 acres. The Owners contend that during the survey a large area which has all along been waste, has

X
Dry 484 - 22
Wet 116 - 30
Gar 10 - 13
611 . 25

Dry 575. 11
Wet 177. 31
Gar 22. 13
775. 15

Survey No. 47. 19

OFFICE OF THE
9343
4 - MAR 90
198
DEWAN (RE:

1890.

From I. A. 84-89-6.

The Superintendent
Mysore Rev. Survey

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Dated
Despatched 4th March 90
Received

ENCLOSURES No. 1
No. 744 of 1890.

Stating that the Supt Mysore Rev. Survey, sees no reason to doubt the origin. ed enquiry as to the extent of land under cultivation in the alienated villages of Sivan-Samudram.

Submitted to Council
31.3.90

Heard
12th June 1890
A.J.
14/4/90

Adjourned
to 10th July
1890
Issue 2 Rees
A.J.
7/6/90

B2 A3
10-4-90 10-3-90

(20

No 744

Survey Supt^s Office.
Bangalore 14th March 1890

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor, in reply to your letter No 8337/07 of the 31st January last regarding the extent of land under cultivation in the alienated village of Sivarkhand-pur in the Nelmangal Taluk to state that, in addition to the classification, a Pahani was carried out by the Amildar and the latter returned the whole of the

the village as cultivated while the classification returns showed only 10 acres as waste.

2. Under the circumstances I discredit the statements of the Inamdars. The latter may have now left uncultivated land in order to support his present claim but I see no reason to doubt the original enquiry. Orders are solicited. Enclosure is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servant

Supdt Mysore Rev. Survey

(General Serial No. 30).

24

1892.

From The Supdt Mysore Rev. Survey

L. R. 84-89-6.

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated 26th August 1890

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No./

General No. 345
No.

Chief Secretary's letter No. 4534/68 dated 4th Instant calling for the Pahani Account of Jodi Aivar-Khandpur in the Nalmangal Taluk

Has the honor to forward herewith the Pahani Account called for with a request that the same may kindly

be returned when done with (25)

Sof J. P. Grant
Supt Rel. Survey

Copy

J P Grant
Supt Myore Rel
Survey

(26

Accompagne la demande
d'achat Boerlet
N° 345 du 26/8/1920.

Engagement souscrit par

Amiens

Le Directeur

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			[illegible]
				[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
๑	๒	๓	๔	๕	๖	๗	๘
๑	๐	๑๙๙๓๘	๙๗	๑๙๙๑๓	๐	๑๙๙๑๓	
๒	๐	๑๕๙๗	๙๗	๑๕๙	๐	๑๕๙	
๓	๐	๘๙๓๓	๙๙	๘๙๓๔	๐	๘๙๓๔	
๔		๑๕๙๗	[illegible]	๑๕๙๔	๐	๑๕๙๔	
๕		๕๙	๙๔	๑๙๓๓	๐	๑๙๓๓	
๖		๓๙๗	๐	๓๙๗	๐	[illegible]	

۱	۰						
۲	۰						
۳	۰						
۴	۰						
۵	۰						
۶	۰						
۷	۰						

| | | |

ક્રમ	નામ	પિતાનું નામ	અટક	જન્મ તારીખ	જન્મ સ્થળ	જાતિ	ધર્મ
૧							
૨							
૩							
૪							
૫							
૬							
૭							
૮							
૯							
૧૦							

๑๑	๐	๐	๐	๐
๑๒	๐	๐	๐	๐
๑๓	๐	๐	๐	๐
๑๔	๐	๐	๐	๐
๑๕	๐	๐	๐	๐
๑๖	๐	๐	๐	๐
๑๗	๐	๐	๐	๐
๑๘	๐	๐	๐	๐
๑๙	๐	๐	๐	๐
๒๐	๐	๐	๐	๐
๒๑	๐	๐	๐	๐
๒๒	๐	๐	๐	๐

[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]
[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]
[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]
[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]
[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]
[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]
[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]
[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]
[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]
[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]
[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]
[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]	٠	[illegible]

[illegible]

(27

L. R. 84-89-9.

Read letter from the Supdt of Rev Survey dated 26 August 1889 No 3485. —

The papers connected with the letter read above were forwarded to the Dy Conservator Bangalore with a request that he will enquire & report whether there is any truth in the statement of the Ryots that a large portion of their lands, nearly 160 acres, have always been waste. The Patwari account of 1887 shows that all the lands were under cultivation, but the Settlement Conservator during the joint of the Dy Conservator is satisfied that the Patwari account — is not correct & that a large area was always waste, he is requested to ascertain by

(24

Measurement, the
Exam area now
under alteration a
report the matter

(General Serial No. 30).

1890

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
7945
26 NOV 90

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 21st November 1890.

Despatched

Received L.R. 84-89.

Enclosures No.

General No. ✓

Office No. 588.

With reference to his No. 85-M/343 of the 21st Ultimo, has the honor to request that the Vernacular papers forwarded with this office No. 416 dated 23rd August 1889, may be returned to this office for reference.

[illegible]
for Dy Commissioner

Work

Return
as
26/11/90

Dumpter
Nov 24/11

28-11-90

(General Serial No. 30).

1890.

From

L. Q. 84-89-11.

To The Secy: Govt
Bangalore Dt.

Dated 1° Dec 90

Despatched 2 -

Received

Enclosures No. VP

General No. 9674
No. 398

Has Docket Nr. 588 dated
21 Nov/90

Has the honor to return
the vernacular papers
forwarded with his letter
Nr. 381 of 23 Augt/89.

'By Order'

a S

Under Secy:

AMK

(33

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated Bangalore 31st March 1891

From.

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To.

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In returning the enclosures received with the Government Proceedings No. 8371/343 dated 21st October 1890, I have the honour to forward, herewith, a statement shewing the particulars of land under cultivation and that of lying waste of Jodi Iwarkhandpur village in the Nelamangal Taluk. — From the Amildar's report 860, herewith sent, it will be seen that although the land shewn in Column 12 is not

now

now under cultivation; yet from the marks of the existing fields bandhs, it may be safely presumed that it was under cultivation about 10 and above years ago. If this cultivated lands laid waste and that shewn in Column 16 is to be treated, as uncultivated, the net area under cultivation will come to 690 acres and 32 guntahs.

I have the Honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant.

Offg. Dy. Commissioner

4	16-22	" 8	16-14	16-14	"	"	16-14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
5	2-1	" 4	1-37	1-37	"	"	1-37	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
6	3-5	"	3-5	"	"	"	"	"	1-27	"	1-27	1-18	"	"	1-18	
7	" 18	"	" 18	"	"	"	"	"	" 18	"	" 18	"	"	"	"	
8	" 36	"	" 36	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" 14	" 22	"	" 36	
9	4-30	" 1	4-29	4-29	"	"	4-29	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
10	17-31	" 1	17-30	17-30	"	"	17-30	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
11	12-6	1 "	11-6	10-5	"	"	10-5	"	"	"	"	1-1	"	"	1-1	
12	18-4	2-13	15-31	15-31	"	"	15-31	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
13	7-9	2-21	4-28	" 19	"	"	" 19	"	"	"	"	4-9	"	"	4-9	
14	17-33	1-3	16-30	15-"	"	"	15-"	"	"	"	"	1-30	"	"	1-30	
15	19-25	3-32	15-33	15-33	"	"	15-33	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
16	1-8	"	1-8	1-8	"	"	1-8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
17	15-9	" 10	14-39	14-39	"	"	14-39	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	

Statement shewing the particulars of both cultivated and waste lands of Iyvarkhandapur village in the Velamangala Taluk.

Survey No	Total Acres	"Of which "Kharab"	Remaining land	Of this, Extent under cultivation				Extent of land lying waste								Remarks.
								Formerly under cultivation but now lying waste.				Extent of land lying waste from a long time				
				Dry	Wet	Garden	Total	Dry	Wet	Garden	Total	Dry	Wet	Garden	Total	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1	19-38	" 25	19-13	19-10	...	...	19-10	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
2	16-15	" 15	16--	16--	"	"	16--	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
3	8-33	" 9	8-24	8-24	"	"	8-24	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	

18	2-8	〃-5	2-3	2-3	〃	〃	2-3	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	
19	4-38	〃-7	4-31	4-31	〃	〃	4-31	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	
20	21-23	〃-9	21-14	21-14	〃	〃	21-14	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	
21	4-31	〃 2	4-29	4-29	〃	〃	4-29	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	
22	4-6	〃-2	4-4	4-4	〃	〃	4-4	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	
23	18-21	〃-28	17-33	17-33	〃	〃	17-33	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	
24	16-33	〃 8	16-25	16-25	〃	〃	16-25	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	
25	4-4	〃	4-4	4-4	〃	〃	4-4	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	
26	1-23	〃-4	1-19	〃	〃	1-19	1-19	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	
27	19-30	〃-8	19-22	19-22	〃	〃	19-22	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	
28	18-29	〃-8	18-21	18-21	〃	〃	18-21	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	
29	20-2	〃-7	19-35	19-35	〃	〃	19-35	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	
30	12-37	〃-8	12-29	12-29	〃	〃	12-29	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	
31	16-8	〃-18	15-30	15-30	〃	〃	15-30	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	

32	5-28	〃-11	5-17	5-17	〃	〃	5-17	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
33	29-27	3-14	26-13	23-27	〃	〃	23-27	〃	〃	〃	〃	2-26	〃	〃	2-26
34	17-17	〃	17-17	2-4	〃	〃	2-4	15 73	〃	〃	15-13	〃	〃	〃	〃
35	5-27	〃-10	5-17	5-17	〃	〃	5-17	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
36	4-19	〃-8	4-11	4-11	〃	〃	4-11	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
37	3-1	〃 1	3-〃	3-〃	〃	〃	3-〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
38	3-19	〃-6	3-13	3-13	〃	〃	3-13	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
39	20-30	〃 15	20-15	18-18	〃	〃	18-18	1-37	〃	〃	1-37	〃	〃	〃	〃
40	2-26	〃	2-26	2-26	〃	〃	2-26	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
41	19-3	〃	19-3	19-3	〃	〃	19-3	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
42	19-4	〃	19-4	19-4	〃	〃	19-4	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
43	20-17	〃-6	20-11	20-11	〃	〃	20-11	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃

44	18-15	〃-7	18-8	18-8	〃	〃	18-8	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃		
45	11-37	〃-6	11-31	11-31	〃	〃	11-31	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃		
46	10-36	〃-5	10-31	10-31	〃	〃	10-31	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃		
47	133-12	133-12	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃		
48	9-30	〃	9-30	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	9-30	〃	〃	9-30		
49	4-11	〃	4-11	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	4-11	〃	〃	4-11		
50	14-9	2-25	11-24	〃	〃	〃	〃	5-27	〃	〃	5-27	5-37	〃	〃	5-37		
51	6-19	〃	6-19	〃	4-12	〃	4-12	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	2-7	〃	2-7		
52	3-26	〃	3-26	3-26	〃	〃	3-26	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃		
53	1-10	〃	1-10	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	1-10	〃	〃	1-10		
	9-36	〃 12	9-24	〃-4	〃 28	〃	〃 32	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	8-32	〃	8-32		
		〃	13-28	〃	13-28	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃		

		"	13-27	"	13-27	"	13 27	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	-38	" 2	9-36	"	9-36	"	9-36	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	3-16	3-16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
59	1-3	-4	-39	"	-39	-39	-39	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
60	-21	"	-21	"	-21	"	-21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
61	2-16	"	2-16	"	2-16	"	2-16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
62	-25	"	-25	"	-25	"	-25	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
63	-30	"	-30	"	-30	"	-30	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
64	-15	"	-15	"	-15	"	-15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
65	-13	"	-13	"	-13	"	-13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
66	-9	-9	-9	"	-9	"	-9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
67	-15	-15	"	-15	"	-15	"	-15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

68	8	"	8	"	8	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
69	2-36	"	2-36	"	2-36	"	2-36	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
70	11-9	3	11-6	"	11-6	"	11-6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
71	8-10	"	8-10	"	8-10	"	8-10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
72	1-"	"	1-"	"	1-"	"	1-"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
73	7-36	"	7-36	"	"	"	"	"	7-36	"	7-36	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
74	" 20	"	" 20	"	" 20	"	" 20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
75	" 27	"	" 27	"	" 27	"	" 27	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
76	" 33	"	" 33	"	" 33	"	" 33	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
77	1-31	"	1-31	" 7	1-24	"	1-31	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
[illegible]	" 24	3	" 21	" 21	"	"	" 21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
[illegible]	[illegible]	"	" 27	"	"	"	" 27	" 27	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

		-11	6-26	6-26	"	"	6-26	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	-18	-1	-17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
82	4-10	-2	4-8	"	"	4-8	4-8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
83	2-8	-	2-8	"	"	2-8	2-8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
84	2-16	-1	2-15	"	"	2-15	2-15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
85	2-7	-2	2-5	"	"	2-5	2-5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
86	2-3	"	2-3	"	"	2-3	2-3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
87	15-34	-7	15-27	15-27	"	"	15-27	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
88	2-32	"	2-32	"	"	2-32	2-32	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
89	-39	"	-39	"	"	-39	-39	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
90	-23	-1	-22	"	"	-22	-22	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

91	8-34	-4	8-30	"	-29	"	-29	"	8-1	-8-1	"	"	"	"
92	-34	"	-34	-1	"	-33	-34	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
93	3-19	-	3-19	"	2-2	1-17	3-19	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
94	6-23	-1	6-22	"	6-22	"	6-22	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
95	5-9	-3	5-6	"	5-6	"	5-6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
96	8-6	-12	7-34	"	7-34	"	7-34	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
97	9-21	-3	9-18	"	9-18	"	9-18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
98	1-1	-5	-36	-31	-5	"	-36	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
99	8-30	-12	8-18	"	8-18	"	8-18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
100	-10	"	-10	"	-10	"	-10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
101	13-2	-4	12-38	4-22	-88	-8	12-38	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
102	-29	"	-29	"	-29	"	-29	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

10.3	11-3	—4	10-39	"	10-39	"	10-39	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10.4	1-35	—6	1-29	"	1-29	"	1-29	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10.5	11-13	—12	11-1	"	11-1	"	11-1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	939-8	162-33	776-15	519-28	149-8	21-36	690-32	22-37	18-2	"	40-39	32-26	11-21	—17	44-24

36*

d. Q. 84-89-13.

M. No 937 dated
7th Augt 1889

Petition of
Venkatramasastry
& others
Zodedars of Sivarkhandapara
Nelgiri Zamin

Petitioners complain that in charging the ~~assessment~~ land revenue in the Sudam village a large portion of land which was waste was treated as cultivated. The Fasli accounts of 1882 on which the Supt of Revenue Survey based shows that the whole area of the village

(37) (37)

was then calculated,
but as the Surv.
asserted that a
large portion was never
brought under plough,
the Dy Cent was
directed to re-measure
the lands which he
did with the following
results.—

	Area as per the Survey Report	Area as found on measurement. under actual culn.	under culn. 10 years previous	Total cultivated
Dry	575.11	519.28	22.37	542.25
Wet	177.31	149.8	18.2	168.10
Garden	22.13	21.36	—	21.36
Total Cultivated	774.55	690.32	40.39	731.31
Cultivable waste —				32.26
Dry "				11.21
Wet "				1.7
Uncultivable waste	191.39			nil given
				776.15
Total	967.14			

The difference between
the present & old
measurements is
not much & it

~~as quite possible~~

& the Govt are not
disposed to disturb
the settlement already
made. The compt
is expected

ccl
3/8/91

BM
3/8

Dear Sir
Area of cultivation as was measured on
the Jhusia measure
does not differ much
from the measurement on
which the Jhusia was
fixed adopted by the
Survey Dept. The Govt have
decline to alter the
settlement already made

ccl

BM
3/8

(89)

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 937 of 7th August 1889.

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Venkatramasastry and others, Joidars of Ivenkandapura, Nelamangala Talukk.

Decision. 3/8/91.

Petitioners complain that in charging quit-rent on their Inam village, a large portion of land which was waste was treated as cultivated. The Fasli account of 1882 on which the Supdt. of Rev. Survey relied shews that the whole area of the village was then cultivated, but as the Inamdars asserted that a large portion was never brought under plough, the Dy. Commr was directed to re-measure the lands which he did with the following results:—

	area adopted by the S. Supdt	area now found on measurement — under actual cultn.	under cultn. 10 yrs previously.	Total cultivated
Dry	575.11	519.28	22.37	542.25
Wet	177.31	149.8	18.2	167.10
Garden	22.13	21.36	—	21.36
Total Cultd	774.55	690.32	40.39	731.31
Cultivable waste.				
Dry "				32.26
Wet "				11.21
Garden "				1.14
Uncultivable waste	191.39			not given
Total	965.14			776.15

40

The difference between the present and old measurements is not much and the Govt are not disposed to disturb the settlement already made. The Complaint is rejected.

By order

Chief Secy

Copy forwarded to the Dy: Commr, Bangalore Dt, with the P. papers received with his No 945 dy 31/3/91.

By order

Chief Secy

AR

On further consideration I think that the Ryots are entitled to a reduction of Land rent. — I do not believe that the accounts of 1882 were carefully prepared. — We recently sent a similar case to the Dewan for orders & we had better accept this now —

If Land rent which charged in 1882 was demanded only in 1889 — the cause of the delay is not known. —

10/8/91

Dewan

To write by every opportunity

41

Appellants. No. 437
Venkatramanasastry & others,
Jodidars of Iverkandasura,
Nelamangal Talk.

Endorsement. 9/3/91.

In reply to their Petition dated 7th August 1889 Petitioners are informed that the area of cultivation as now discovered on measurement does not differ much from that adopted by the Survey Dept. The Govt. therefore decline to alter the settlement ~~is informed that~~ already made.

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Petition Department,
August 1891.

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

5. The Government have given their best consideration to the subject and are of opinion that the treatment of fields cultivated more than half as wholly under cultivation for purposes of valuation was not fair to individual Inamdars and it was not calculated to give satisfactory average results, unless at the same time, account was omitted to be taken of any portion of the area of fields cultivated less than half. The Superintendent of Revenue Survey will accordingly be requested to revise the valuation of these and other villages with reference to the extent of land actually under cultivation, at the time of classification, without any distinction as to whether a field was cultivated more or less than half. The revision, it is noted, can be effected on the survey records without any additional labor in the field and opportunity should be taken of the revision to correct also any clerical errors such as those noticed above which may have crept into the original valuation.

6. The Government are unwilling to permit any Inamdar to ask that the valuation of his village may be fixed with reference to the extent under cultivation, in any year named or chosen by him. The extent of actual cultivation in the year of classification must be the basis of valuation in all cases.

7. In the case of Navaratna Agrahar, it is reported that the lands classed as garden are cultivated with dry crops owing to the insufficiency of the water-supply in the wells. They appear to have been classed and assessed as garden, simply because there were wells in them which seem to have been excavated by the Inamdars for temporary use during the famine. Under the circumstances, the Government consider that the so-called garden lands should be assessed at dry rates. It is further reported that the village tank is out of repair, that the lands under it are now cultivated with dry crops and *punji* rice and that there is no likelihood of the tank being repaired and the lands under it being brought under wet cultivation. If the Inamdars have allowed their tank to fall out of repair since 1883 and are therefore unable to raise full wet crops, it is their fault, and no reduction of quit-rent is called for on that account.

8. The valuation of Mallenhalli, Devanhalli Taluk, and Wuddenhalli village, Dodballapur Taluk, should be revised in accordance with the instructions contained in the concluding part of para 5 above.

T. ANANDA ROW,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Superintendent, Revenue Survey.
The Superintendent, Inam Settlements in Mysore, with the Inam Registers.
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, with records.

Exd.—K.S.

1894.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

PETITION.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 17*th February* 1894.

No. 14223-5.
Pet. F. 100-93.

Issuing instructions for revising the valuation of certain Jodi villages with reference to the extent of land actually under cultivation at the time of classification, without any distinction as to whether a field was cultivated more or less than a half.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—8-3-94.—120.

P. 7. 100 "9

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.
L.R 83-89

1889-90

192 .
(L.R.)

File No. 84-89 ✓

Serial Nos. 1614,

Later references.
Q.Rn. 831-04. P
B. Rev. 1905: 1654.
L.R. 85-89. 1618.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1614

Part B for February 1894.

SUBJECT.

Complaint of D. Venkatarama Sastri & the Inamdars of Aivara Kundapura Village Velimanagala Tk. re a large portion of their lands having been lying waste.

To the Asstt. Com: Agency
" D.O. Inam Settlement
" Dy: Commr Bangalore
No 14223-5/Pet 7.100-93 D/17-2-94

Issuing instructions for preparing the Valuation of certain Kodi Villages with reference to the extent of land actually under cultivation at the time of classification without any distinction as to whether a field was cultivated more or less than once a half.

Received 20/4/94.

WD 2283—GPP—20,000—14-6-20

Sept 25/9

1889.

5057

8

From

The Supt of Inam Settls:

To,

The Chief Secretary

to the Government

of Mysore

Dated 20th

Recd 25th September

Enclosure no 3

to No 25 of 1889-90

His Docket No 4661/54 of

the 11th Instant.

Has the honor to append

in the margin the Statement

required in the above.

2. A copy of the Final

Quit-rent Register is here-

with forwarded.

3. The enclosures of the

Docket under reply, are

herewith returned.

Supt of Inam Settls.

R. Sing

Asst

14/10

Remarks	Old extent.	Name of Village	Taluk
As furnished by the Collectorate.	Dry 32-6-0 23-6-2 1/4 Wet 19-16-1 1/4 Gramtoka 20-4-7 1/2 93-6-11 1/4	Hallamage	Hole-narsipur, Arkalgud, Yelandur, Chamarajanagar
	872-11-8		
	32-4 Dry 375-11 Wet 177-31 Gramtoka 22-13 775-15		
	"		
	191-39		
	Adv. collection 610- Issued 727-		

15-10-89 17-10-89

9
V. 77

22

L.R. 84-89-7

8

F.S. Survey Settlement

Lucknow 1890.

Draft

No. 4534/68

Calling for duplicate of the Patwari's
a/c prepared by the Qanungo,
in any report received from him
to show that all the land
of the village were under
cultivation.

From the Secretary 245/90 20-8-90

(23 (23

Per Dak/—

To

No 4534/68

D 4.8.90
PB—8

The Collector of Poona

Sir.

With reference to your letter dated 4th March 1890 No 744, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to submit the Patwari's account, prepared by the Mamlatdar, or any report received from him to show that all the lands of the village were under cultivation

Dak
4/8/90

I have

4/3/90.

939·8
112 33
776 - 15-

Read (29

Letter from the Superintendent of Rev: Survey dated 26th August 190, No 345. L & C 4-89-9

Re 8571/343 9 21-10-90 1-11

Order thereon

The papers connected with the letter read above will be forwarded to the Dy: Commr: of Bangalore with a request that he will enquire and report whether there is any truth in the statement of the Inamdars that a large portion of their lands, nearly 165 acres, has always been waste. The Pahani account of 1882 shews that all the lands were under cultivation, but the Petitioners loudly deny the fact. If the Dy: Commr: is satisfied that the Pahani account is not correct and that a large area was always waste, he is requested to ascertain, by measurement, the exact area now under cultivation and report the matter.

Dy: Commr:, Bangalore D/-

21/10/90

P. A.R.

Under Sec

V. 77

(General Serial No. 30).

1890.

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commr Bangal

Dated 21st October 90

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 8571

No. 343.

Ind. 9 for enquiry & report, the papers connected with the ab village of Aivarkleandapur to which there is any true the statement of the Inamda a large portion of their lan nearly 165 acres, have alw waste.

10

(General Serial No. 31.)

OFFICE OF THE
12241
4 APR 91
826
DEWAN OF MYSORE

1891

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

L.R. 84-89-12

Dated 31st March

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No.

No. 945

Reporting in the matter of the complaints of the Inamdars of Jodi Iyyarakandapura Village Nelamangala Taluk—

Submitted to the Council
7/4/91 AR

131 A3
13-5-91 7-4-91

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
31st March 1891

Sd/- [illegible]

Offg. Deputy Commissioner

Compd.
Recd

4 2

L.Q. 84-89-184

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Petition),—dated 17th February 1894.

EAD :—.

Petitions Nos. 1033 and 2026 of 1888-89; 760 and 1580 of 1889-90; 2112, 2141 and 2196 of 1890-91 from the Jodidars of Sannappanhalli, Devanhalli Taluk, Nos. 1029, 1052 and 1099 of 1889-90 from the Jodidars of Navaratna Agrahar, Devanhalli Taluk, No. 937 of 1889-90 from the Jodidars of Aivarkhandapur in the Nelamangala Taluk, Nos. 1885, 2232 and 2258 of 1889-90, 2317 and 3015 of 1890-91; 2296 and 3020 of 1891-92 and 2924 of 1892-93 from the Jodidars of Mallenhalli, Devanhalli Taluk; and Nos. 1946 and 2654 of 1890-91 from the Jodidar of Wuddenhalli, Dodballapur Taluk.

2. Proceedings and reports received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, Superintendent, Inam Settlements, and Superintendent, Mysore Revenue Survey.

No. 14223-5—Pet. F. 100-93, dated, Bangalore, 17th February 1894.

Order thereon.—There have been several complaints from Inamdars of excessive valuation of their villages for purposes of Quit-rent and cesses under the instructions conveyed in para 4 of the Proceedings of Government, No. 4701 -9—133, dated 2nd September 1881. Three of the complaints, *viz.*, those relating to Jodi Sannappanhalli, Navaratna Agrahar and Aivarkhandapur have been investigated and the cultivation areas ascertained by a re-survey compare as follows with those adopted by the Survey Department for purposes of valuation :—

		I Sannappanhalli.		II Navaratna Agrahar.		III Aivarkhandapur.	
		Area as now surveyed.	Area adopted by the Survey Department in 1883.	Area as now surveyed.	Area adopted by the Survey Department in 1883.	Area as now surveyed.	Area adopted by the Survey Department in 1883.
Cultivated	Dry	36— 6	105—14	183—36	243—11	519—28	575—11
	Wet	0—39	4— 5	8— 0	12—26	149— 8	177—31
	Garden	3— 1	2— 7	8— 4	14—18	21—36	22—13
Total cultivated.....		40— 6	111—26	200— 0	270—15	690—32	775—15
Culturable Waste	Dry	133—38	69—37	109—26	36—31	...	...
	Wet	3—11	0—36	5—20	...	...	...
	Garden	...	...	...	1—35	...	...
Total Cultivated Waste.....		137— 9	70—33	115— 6	38—26	...	...

2. It is reported that the figures adopted by the Survey Department in 1883 do not represent the area that was actually under cultivation in that year, owing to the Departmental rule under which, when the cultivated area exceeded half the extent of a field, the whole field was taken as under cultivation, and when the cultivated area was less than half the extent of a field, the actual cultivated area alone was taken into account. In addition to the operations of this Departmental rule, certain clerical errors are shown to have been made in tabulating the figures given by the classers, in regard to the first and second

villages above named, *e. g.*, in the former village, an extent of 25 acres 18 guntas, which was really waste, was erroneously included in the extent under cultivation and in the latter, 55 acres, which under the rule referred to should have been classed as arable waste, was entered as cultivated.

3. Although for purposes of valuation the extent of cultivated land was calculated by regarding fields cultivated more than half as entirely cultivated, yet there is a record in the classers' books of the extent of each field under actual cultivation in 1883. We are thus enabled to compare as follows the *actual* correct extent of cultivation in 1883, with that ascertained by the recent re-survey :—

		I Sannapannhalli.		II Navaratna Agrahar.		III Aivarkhandapur.	
		Area as now surveyed.	Actual Extent in 1883.	Area as now surveyed.	Actual Extent in 1883.	Area as now surveyed.	Actual Extent in 1883.
Cultivated	Dry ...	36— 6	68—36	183—36	189—37	519—28	575—11
	Wet ...	0—39	4— 5	8— 0	12—36	149— 8	177—31
	Garden ...	3— 1	2— 7	8— 4	12—23	21—36	22—13
Total Extent Cultivated.....		40— 6	75— 8	200— 0	215—16	690—32	775—15

The discrepancy in the first case is large. As regards the third case, it is stated that, out of the extent now lying waste, 40 acres, 39 guntas were under cultivation about 10 years ago. This added to the 690 acres, 32 guntas now under cultivation makes a total of 731—31 as against 775—15, the extent under actual cultivation in 1883.

4. The Superintendent, Revenue Survey, states that the cultivated and waste area in each survey number was *measured* at time of measurement, that if at the time of classification, the classer had any doubts about the accuracy of division into cultivated and waste, he made a re-measurement, that the duty of working out the "more than half cultivated" rule, rested with the classers and not the measurers, that the classification was tested by Colonel Mackenzie, that though it is not known whether he actually re-measured the cultivated area, yet his test entailed his visiting every portion of the number tested and that there is therefore no reason to doubt the accuracy of the classers' returns. He further states in his letter No. 818, dated 11th March 1881, that the treatment of the whole number as cultivated when more than half was under the plough, is not so illiberal as might at first sight be supposed, and that the so-called waste land thereby included does not represent ordinary "Anádi Banjar", but is more or less mixed up with the cultivation and probably was actually cultivated in some years. He, however, suggests in para 3 of his letter No. 76, dated 1st May 1891, that the areas actually under cultivation and waste respectively *at time of classification* be taken as the basis of valuation, and adds, that the revision of settlement on the actual area cultivated, at the time of classification, will entail no labor in the field on the part of the Survey Department, and that if Inamdars are to be allowed the benefit of such particular year as they choose, there will be endless petitions.